

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,218	09/19/2003		Ram Ayyakad	Ranch 5	7001
William Ryan	7590	05/16/2007		EXAMINER	
Attorney at Lav	W	,		SMITH, MARCUS	
Suite 360 1253 Springfield Avenue New Providence, NJ 07974				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2616	
		•			
			•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				05/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•	< <tr>         ✓</tr>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/667,218	AYYAKAD ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marcus R. Smith	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION.  y be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ The 3 ■ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal matter	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) 1,2 and 21-27 is/are rejected.  7) ☑ Claim(s) 3-20 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ one drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	ents have been received. Ints have been received in Appriority documents have been releau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/19/03.	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) //ail Date rmal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 2616

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-2, 2**1**-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Krichevski et al. (US 6,944,670).

with regard to claim 1,

A single-pass packet processor for processing received packets comprising:

a stateless segment (packet parser, 22) comprising at least one pipelined plurality of stateless functional modules, each of said stateless functional modules performing stateless processing of received packets (column 9, lines 32-58: The examiner views the stateless functional modules as a plurality of predefine protocols to identified (mapping) and extract data from each packet. Since the protocols are listed and check one by one, then it can consider the protocols are in a pipeline.); and

a stateful segment (General state machine, 23) comprising at least one pipelined plurality of stateful functional modules, each of said stateful functional

Art Unit: 2616

modules performing stateful processing of packets that have been processed by at least one of said stateless functional units (Column 9, lines 58-67 through column 10, lines 1-31: These actions on the packets are based on the session history.).

with regard to claim 2,

The single-pass packet processor of claim 1 further comprising a plurality of communications ports (ingress and egress gates, 11/21 and 15/27) for sending and receiving packets (column 7, lines 10-30).

with regard to claim 21,

The single-pass packet processor of claim 1 wherein at least one of said stateless functional modules in at least one of said pipelined plurality of stateless functional modules is implemented as an application specific integrated circuit (ASIC, column 5, lines 62-67 through column 6, lines 1-10).

with regard to claim 22,

The single-pass packet processor of claim 1 wherein at least one of said stateless functional modules in at least one of said pipelined plurality of stateless functional modules is implemented as a field programmable gate array (FPGA, column 5, lines 62-67 through column 6, lines 1-10).

with regard to claim 23,

The single-pass packet processor of claim 1 wherein at least one of said stateful functional modules in at least one pipelined plurality of stateful functional modules is implemented as a programmed processor (column 8, lines 5-11: the

Art Unit: 2616

device is implemented on network processor, which can be viewed as a programmed processor.).

with regard to claim 24,

The single-pass packet processor of claim 1 wherein at least one of said stateful functional modules in at least one pipelined plurality of stateful functional modules is implemented as a programmed network processor (column 8, lines 5-11).

with regard to claim 25,

The single-pass packet processor of claim 1 wherein at least one of said stateful pipelined plurality of stateful functional modules is selectively enabled by control signals applied to said single-pass packet processor (column 10, lines 53-58: the examiners views that protocols are called in a specific routine or (scenario), thus action handlers must have control signals enables (picking) a certain protocol with an event.)

with regard to claim 26,

The single-pass packet processor of claim 25 wherein at least one of said stateful pipelined plurality of stateful functional modules is implemented as a coded module executed by said programmed network processor (column 10, lines 59-67 through column 11, lines 1-5: The examiners views the protocol definition stored on C data structures as coded modules.).

with regard to claim 27,

The single-pass packet processor of claim 1 wherein at least one of said stateless pipelined plurality of stateless functional modules is selectively enabled

Art Unit: 2616

by control signals applied to said single-pass packet processor (Column 9, lines 15-35: the examiners views how in the pre processing stage, each protocol still is called by a certain routine. Thus, the packet parser uses some control signal to enable the predefined protocols it has selected.).

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcus R. Smith whose telephone number is 571 270 1096. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri. 7:30 am - 5:00 pm every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on 571 272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

**Art Unit: 2616** 

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MRS 5/07/07

CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

( how to African